

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/722,551	KAWABATA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Maureen M. Wallenhorst	<b>Art Unit</b> 1743	

**All Participants:**

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Todd Baker (Appl. Rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 April 2007

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1, 5, 9 and 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Minor changes in claims 1, 5, 9 and 10 were agreed upon in order to provide further clarification and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)